

# **LEGIBILITY NOTICE**

A major purpose of the Technical Information Center is to provide the broadest dissemination possible of information contained in DOE's Research and Development Reports to business, industry, the academic community, and federal, state and local governments.

Although a small portion of this report is not reproducible, it is being made available to expedite the availability of information on the research discussed herein.

**MASTER**

Los Alamos National Laboratory is operated by the University of California for the United States Department of Energy under contract W-7405-ENG-38.

LA-UR--85-2001

DE85 014128

TITLE: ANALYSIS OF PULSED POWER SYSTEM CONTAINING ROTATING MACHINE

AUTHOR(S): P. Chowdhuri

SUBMITTED TO: Fifth IEEE Pulsed Power Conference, Arlington, VA.  
June 10-12, 1985

#### DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

By acceptance of this article, the publisher recognizes that the U.S. Government retains a nonexclusive, royalty-free license to publish or reproduce the published form of this contribution, or to allow others to do so, for U.S. Government purposes.

The Los Alamos National Laboratory requests that the publisher identify this article as work performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy

**Los Alamos** Los Alamos National Laboratory  
Los Alamos, New Mexico 87545

2541

# ANALYSIS OF A PULSED POWER SYSTEM CONTAINING ROTATING MACHINE\*

P. Chowdhuri  
Los Alamos National Laboratory  
Los Alamos, New Mexico 87545

## Abstract

Several hundred megajoules of energy lasting for several seconds are required for magnetic fusion experiments. Such high energy can be stored as inertial energy in an alternator which is brought up to speed slowly. The alternator is then discharged through a thyristor converter to magnets which produce and sustain the plasma. A major portion of the inertial stored energy, for reversed field pinch experiments in Los Alamos, will be dissipated in charging the magnetizing coil to produce the plasma. The plasma is then sustained, during the flat-top period, by energizing the magnetizing coil in the reverse direction. To hold the plasma current constant at the required level, the output voltage of the converter must be held at a prescribed level by controlling the firing angle of the thyristors. The paper discusses the possibility of using the same converter for both the magnetizing and the flat-top periods. It also analyzes the electrical requirements that will be imposed on the alternator and the converter.

## Introduction

The poloidal- and toroidal-field circuits of the proposed Los Alamos reversed-field pinch experiments in magnetic fusion will require four types of power supplies: three for the poloidal fields and one for the toroidal field. Of the many design variations being considered now, the paper discusses the magnetizing and the flat-top power supplies of one design concept. A simplified schematic of the poloidal-field circuit is shown in Fig. 1.

To eliminate disturbances to electrical loads in the Los Alamos area, the pulse-power system for the proposed reversed-field-pinch experiment will be fed by a motor-generator set. The ac power of the generator will be converted to dc by converters which will consist of thyristor-controlled bridges. The magnetizing and the flat-top power supplies will have modular design, each module consisting of four bridges connected in parallel. These modules, in turn, will be connected in series or in parallel to provide the required power.

The experimental procedure is as follows:

1. The alternator is brought up to speed, for instance, by an induction motor which is connected to the 13.8-kV electric utility distribution system.
2. Once the alternator has been brought up to speed, and excited with the predetermined field current, it is connected to the magnetizing winding through the converter to initiate the magnetizing period.
3. Once the current has reached the required value, the alternator is disconnected from the magnetizing power supply, and the vacuum circuit breakers are opened to produce high voltage in the magnetizing winding to establish the plasma.
4. The alternator is then connected to the flat-top power supply which passes current through the magnetizing winding in the opposite direction to initiate the flat-top period. To hold the plasma




Fig. 1 Simplified schematic of poloidal field circuit. At the end of magnetizing period ( $t = 0$ ), S1 closes, S2 opens, S3 closes and CB opens. At the beginning of flat-top period ( $t = 20$  to  $40$  ms), S4 closes. PSM, PSF are magnetizing and flat-top power supplies. MTA, MBA, MTB and MBB are four sections of the magnetizing winding.

current constant (flat top), the output voltage of the converter must supply the plasma voltage drop.

The electrical requirement during the magnetizing period is that the current through the resistive-inductive load must reach 68 kA in as short an interval as possible consistent with the power supply rating to minimize energy loss and heating. Therefore, the dc output voltage of the magnetizing power supply should be as high as possible. The thyristor valves are, therefore, fully switched on during the magnetizing period. On the other hand, the current during the flat-top operation must supply the plasma voltage drop, to hold the plasma current constant. Therefore, the output voltage of the flat-top power supply must be regulated by the control of the thyristor firing angle while the alternator voltage decreases with its speed as its kinetic energy is transformed into electrical energy to its loads.

## Combined Magnetizing and Flat-Top Power Supplies

The flat-top power supply is connected to the poloidal-field circuit approximately 20-40 ms after the magnetizing power supply is disconnected. Therefore, it may be advantageous to use the same power supply during both the magnetizing and the flat-top periods. A scheme to perform both the functions with the same power supply is shown in Fig. 2.

\*WORK PERFORMED UNDER THE AUSPICES OF THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY.



### Analysis of the Magnetizing Period

where  $p_1$  = no-load losses of the generator,  $v, i$  = dc load voltage and current during  $t_0$ ,  $q(t_1)$ ,  $q(t_2)$  = generator inertial energy at  $t_1$  and  $t_2$ ,  $f(t_2)$  = generator frequency at  $t_2$ , and  $f_0$ ,  $q_0$  = initial frequency and

$$1/\kappa_{q0} = (1/\omega) \cdot \{ (1/lq) + \{ (1/lq1) - (1/lq) \} \cdot t3 + \{ (1/lq2) - (1/lq1) \} \cdot t4 \},$$

$$\begin{aligned} t_1 &= \exp(-t/td_1); \quad t_2 = \exp(-t/td_2), \\ t_3 &= \exp(-t/tq_1); \quad t_4 = \exp(-t/tq_2), \end{aligned} \quad (4b)$$

where  $i_d, i_q$  = direct- and quadrature-axis synchronous inductances,  
 $i_{d1}, i_{q1}$  = direct- and quadrature-axis transient inductances,  
 $i_{d2}, i_{q2}$  = direct- and quadrature-axis subtransient inductances,  
 $td_1, tq_1$  = direct- and quadrature-axis transient time constants, and  
 $td_2, tq_2$  = direct- and quadrature-axis subtransient time constants.

#### Modeling of Load and Converter

The load is the magnetizing winding which consists of a resistance and an inductance, connected in series. Electrically, a continuously decreasing dc voltage (converter output) charges an RL-circuit exponentially to a specified current level.

A 12-pulse converter consists of a bank of three-winding wye/wye/delta transformer and two three-phase full-wave thyristor bridges, each connected to one of the two secondaries of the transformer. The analysis will be the same if the three-winding transformer is replaced by two wye/wye and wye/delta transformers.

The thyristors in the converter conduct fully, i.e., the firing angle is zero during the magnetizing period. The modeling of converter closely follows the theory of conversion [2], with some modifications. As a 12-pulse converter was assumed, the ac line current  $i_a$  is given by [2], [3]

$$i_a = (2/6\pi) \cdot i \cdot \left[ \frac{1 + \cos \delta}{2 \cdot \eta \cdot \cos \theta_1} \right], \quad (5)$$

where  $i$  = dc load current,  
 $\delta = \arccos(1 - i/i_s)$   
 $i_s = 0.1949 \cdot v_c / (f \cdot l_c)$ ,  
 $l_c$  = commutating inductance of the ac system,  
 $\theta_1 = \arctan \{ (2\delta - \sin 2\delta) / (1 - \cos 2\delta) \}$ , and  
 $\eta$  = converter efficiency.

A second modification, because of the 12-pulse conversion, is that the commutating reactance of the generator seen from the converter is half of the generator actual commutating reactance [4], i.e.

$$x_c = (x_{cg}/2) + x_t, \quad (6)$$

where  $x_c$  is the total commutating reactance of the system, and  $x_t$  is the transformer commutating reactance.

The voltage behind the commutating reactance  $v_c$  and the dc load voltage  $v$  are determined iteratively at each time step  $t_s$ , starting from their initial values at no load for  $t = 0$ , as follows:

$$v_{c0} = v_g / \text{nm} \cdot \sqrt{3}; \quad v_0 = \sqrt{2} \cdot v_g / \pi \cdot \text{nm}, \quad (7)$$

where  $v_g$  is the generator line-to-line rms voltage at no load, and  $\text{nm}$  is the voltage transformation ratio of converter transformer for the magnetizing period.

The various voltage phasors and their corresponding angles of Fig. 3 can be constructed, by trigonometric manipulations, at each time step, starting with the initial values of  $v_c$  and  $v$  (eq. 7) and  $i(t=0) = 0$ ,  $\theta_1(t=0) = 0$ , remembering that the generator internal voltage  $v_i$  is reduced at each time step in proportion to its frequency, i.e.,

$$v_i = (f/f_0) \cdot (v_g / \text{nm} \cdot \sqrt{3}) \quad (8)$$

#### Analysis of the Flat-Top Period

Once the magnetizing coils are charged to the required current, the generator with the converter is disconnected from the load, and the vacuum circuit breakers CB (Fig. 1) are opened to initiate the plasma. Once the plasma is formed, energy must be supplied to the magnetizing coil to compensate for the losses in the plasma resistance, to keep the plasma current constant. The converter output voltage must equal the plasma voltage drop to keep the plasma current constant. The firing angle of the thyristors in the converter must be varied to accomplish this.

The generator model is the same as for the magnetizing period. However, the models for the load and the converter are different from those for the magnetizing period.

#### Modeling of Load and Converter

The simplified schematic of the load is shown in Fig. 4. The following are specified: 1. the load voltage  $v$ , and 2. the plasma current  $i_p$ , e.g.,

$$v = i_p \cdot r_p \cdot \text{nmc}, \quad \text{and} \quad (9)$$

$$i_p = i_{p0}$$

where  $i_{p0}$  = initial plasma current, and  $\text{nmc}$  = number of turns of the magnetizing coil. The converter output current  $i$  is found by analyzing Fig. 4,

$$\begin{aligned} i &= (i_{p0} / \text{nmc}) \cdot [\exp(-a_2 \cdot t) - \exp(-a_1 \cdot t)] + (v / \text{nmc}^2 \cdot r_l) \cdot \\ &[1 - \exp(-a_1 \cdot t)] + (v / \text{nmc}^2 \cdot r_p) [1 - \exp(-a_2 \cdot t)], \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where,  $a_1 = r_l / l_l$ , and  $a_2 = r_p / l_p$ .

Several "fictitious" terms are then defined in order to determine the required firing angle of the thyristors in the converters [2].

$$\begin{aligned} v_0 &= 2.3391 \cdot v_c \\ i_n &= v_0 / (12 \cdot f \cdot l_{cf}); \quad c = i / i_n \\ b &= v / v_0; \quad b_1 = b + 0.5 \cdot c; \quad b_2 = b - 0.5 \cdot c \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$




Fig. 4 Simplified schematic of load during flat-top period.  $R_p$ ,  $L_p$  = plasma resistance and inductance.

where  $v_c$  = voltage behind the commutating inductance, and  $L_{cf}$  = commutating inductance in the flat-top period. From eq.(11), the firing angle  $\alpha$ , and the overlap angle  $u$  can be determined,

$$\alpha = \arccos(b1); u = \arccos(b2) - \alpha \quad (12)$$

The computation of the voltage behind the commutating reactance  $v_c$ , and the converter output (active and reactive powers) is similar to that for the magnetizing period.





Fig. 5 Profiles of variables during flat-top period. (a) 1. converter output current, 2. converter power, 3. converter reactive power. (b) 1. converter firing angle, 2. overlap angle.

Figure 5 shows the profiles of some of the variables during the flat-top period.

### Discussion

Generally, the commutating reactance of a synchronous machine is assumed to be either the arithmetic or the geometric mean of  $x_{d2}$  and  $x_{q2}$ . This is not correct. The effects of armature reaction on the generator field flux are twofolds: 1. the direct-axis component of armature current demagnetizes the field flux, and 2. the quadrature-axis component cross-magnetizes the field flux. The resultant effect of armature reaction is a voltage drop internal to the machine. As the demagnetizing and the cross-magnetizing effects of armature current are at quadrature to each other, the two components of internal voltage drop must also be at quadrature to each other. Therefore,  $x_{cg}$  of Eq. (3) should be the correct form of the synchronous-machine commutating reactance.

Once the load characteristics are known, the pulsed power system can be optimized with the help of the proposed analysis. For instance, the reactive power requirement can be minimized by proper choice of series/parallel combination of the converter modules and the voltage ratio of the converter transformers. Whether the plasma current is held constant during the flat-top period for a specific choice of the power supplies can also be easily ascertained.

### Conclusions

1. A new concept for the synchronous-machine commutating reactance is presented.
2. A simple and fast but accurate method of analysis is developed to design a pulsed power system containing a rotating machine.
3. The use of the same power supply for both the magnetizing and the flat-top periods is economically attractive, and technically feasible, particularly because the changeover switches do not have to interrupt power.

### Acknowledgment

The author gratefully acknowledges the valuable advice and encouragement from Dr. R. F. Gribble during this study.

### References

- [1] C. Concordia, Synchronous Machines Theory and Performance. John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1951.
- [2] E. W. Kimbark, Direct Current Transmission. Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1971.
- [3] W. E. Newell, "Analysis of the TFTR Toroidal Field Power Supply and its Interactions with Other Loads." Proc. Sixth Symposium on Engineering Problems of Fusion, IEEE Pub. No. 75CH1097-5-NPS, November 18-21, 1975.
- [4] P. C. S. Krishnayya, "Stresses on Generators and Transformers of Block and Double-Block Connections Proposed for HVDC Power Station Infeed," Proc. International Conference on High Voltage DC and/or AC Power Transmission, IEE (England), London, 19-23 November 1973, pp. 279 - 286.